arXiv:1006.0704v1 [math.DS] 3 Jun 2010

ALMOST REDUCIBILITY AND ABSOLUTE CONTINUITY I

ARTUR AVILA

Abstract. We consider one-frequency analytic $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})$ cocycles. Our main result establishes the Almost Reducibility Conjecture in the case of exponentially Liouville frequencies. Together with our earlier work, this implies that all cocycles close to constant are almost reducible, independent of the frequency. In our forthcoming work, we discuss applications to the analysis of the absolutely continuous spectrum of one-frequency Schrödinger operators.

1. Introduction

Here we consider one-frequency analytic $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})$ cocycles, that is, linear skew-products over an irrational rotation $x \mapsto x+\alpha$ of the circle $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ which have the form $(\alpha, A) : (x, w) \mapsto (x + \alpha, A(x) \cdot w)$ with $A : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \to \mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})$. The iterates of the cocycle have the form $(\alpha, A)^n = (n\alpha, A_n)$ with $A_n(x) = A(x + (n-1)\alpha) \cdots A(x)$, and the Lyapunov exponent is defined by

$$L = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \int \ln \|A_n(x)\| dx. \tag{1.1}$$

We say that (α, A) is uniformly hyperbolic if the cocycle iterates grow exponentially uniformly on $x \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$. Uniform hyperbolicity is robust (it corresponds to an open set of cocycles) and easily analyzed.

Recently, an approach to the global theory of one-frequency cocycles has been proposed [A2], [A3]. In it, cocycles which are not uniformly hyperbolic are classified in three regimes:

1. Supercritical (or nonuniformly hyperbolic), if the Lyapunov exponent is positive but (α, A) is not uniformly hyperbolic,
2. Subcritical, if the cocycle iterates $\|A_n(z)\|$ are uniformly subexponentially bounded through some strip $\{|\Im z| < \epsilon\}$,
3. Critical otherwise.

A key point of this classification is that (in the complement of uniform hyperbolicity) both supercriticality and subcriticality are stable (respectively, by [BJ1] and [A2]), while criticality is unstable (it is the boundary of supercriticality, see [A3]). Moreover, in [A3] it is shown that criticality is "negligible" in the sense that it does not appear at all in typical one-parameter families (this is quite convenient for the theory since very little is known about the dynamics of critical cocycles, apart that they are rare). Naturally, one still is left with the problem of describing the stable regimes.

As it turns out, the understanding of supercriticality is quite developed, through the works of Bourgain, Goldstein, Jitomirskaya and Schlag [BG], [GS1], [BJ1], [GS2], [GS3]. Subcriticality on the other hand, is a relatively new concept, which

---

Date: June 4, 2010.

was first suggested to be relevant in 2006 (see [AJ1]). In fact, [AJ1] basically proposed that the well developed theory of cocycles close to constant ([E], [BJ2], [AJ1], [AFK]) can be applied to all subcritical cocycles, by the application of suitable coordinate changes. Recall that in the cocycle context the natural notion of coordinate change is given by a conjugacy $(x, w) \mapsto (x, B(x) \cdot w)$ with $B : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \to \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ analytic, which takes (α, A) to (α, A') with $A'(x) = B(x + \alpha)A(x)B(x)^{-1}$. Let us say that (α, A) is *almost reducible* if there exist $\epsilon > 0$ and a sequence of analytic maps $B^{(n)} : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \to \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$, admitting holomorphic extensions to the common strip $\{|\Im z| < \epsilon\}$ such that $B^{(n)}(z + \alpha)A(z)B^{(n)}(z)^{-1}$ converges to a constant uniformly in $\{|\Im z| < \epsilon\}$ (the $B^{(n)}$ themselves are allowed to diverge). Essentially by definition, the concept of almost reducibility "captures" the domain of applicability of "local theories" of cocycles close to constant. The basic hope expressed by [AJ1] can be thus expressed in the form of the *Almost Reducibility Conjecture* (ARC): subcriticality implies almost reducibility.

Our first main result establishes a generic version of the ARC. Let us say that $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ is exponentially Liouville if $\limsup \frac{\ln q_{n+1}}{q_n} > 0$, where q_n is the sequence of denominators of continued fraction approximants.

Theorem 1.1. *If $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ be exponentially Liouville, then any subcritical cocycle (α, A) is almost reducible.*

Remark 1.1. We should mention that the results of this paper (obtained in 2006-2007), preced the results of [A2] and [A3] (obtained in 2008-2009), and (being at the time the only evidence for the ARC), played a large role in motivating those works. On the other hand, we have recently established the ARC for almost every frequency (by very different methods, in particular making use of [A2]).

Together with our previous analysis of frequencies α which are not exponentially Liouville, Theorem 1.1 implies:

Corollary 1.2. *Any one-frequency cocycle close to constant is almost reducible.*

Proof. Any one-frequency cocycle which is close to constant is either uniformly hyperbolic or subcritical (this is essentially due to [BJ1] and [BJ2], and it is explicitly obtained in [A1] by a different argument). Uniformly hyperbolic cocycles are always almost reducible: they can be conjugated to a diagonal cocycle, which can then be conjugated arbitrarily close to a constant one using approximate solutions of the cohomological equation. If α is exponentially Liouville, the result then follows by Theorem 1.1.

The complementary case was established earlier in [A1].[1] □

Corollary 1.3. *Almost reducibility is stable, in the sense that it defines an open set in $(\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}) \times C^\omega(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R}))$.*

Proof. Let (α, A) be almost reducible and let $B^{(n)}$ be the sequence of conjugacies as in the definition. Let $(\alpha^{(n)}, A^{(n)})$ be any sequence of non-almost reducible cocycles converging to (α, A). Then there exists a sequence $j_n \to \infty$ such that $\tilde{A}^{(n)}(x) = B^{(n)}(x + \alpha^{(j_n)})A^{(j_n)}(x)B^{(n)}(x)^{-1}$ converges to a constant. By Corollary 1.2, $\tilde{A}^{(n)}$ must be almost reducible for large n. Since almost reducibility is conjugacy invariant, $(\alpha^{(j_n)}, A^{(j_n)})$ is almost reducible, contradiction. $\square$

---

[1] For α Diophantine, i.e., under the condition $\ln q_{n+1} = O(\ln q_n)$, this was established in [AJ1] Theorem 4.1 (rigorously speaking, [AJ1] only deals with the case of Schrödinger cocycles, but this case implies the general one by a simple abstract argument, see Lemma 2.2 of [AJ2]). Under the weaker condition $\ln q_{n+1} = o(q_n)$ (defining the complement of the exponentially Liouville regime), almost reducibility near constants follows from [A1], which provides the necessary estimates for the argument of [AJ1] (see Section 3.7 of [A1]).

Acknowledgements: This research was partially conducted during the period the author was a Clay Research Fellow.

2. Rational approximation

Let $C^\omega_\delta(\mathbb{R}, *)$, be the space of bounded analytic functions with values in $* = \mathbb{R}, \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R}), ...,$ which admit a bounded analytic extension to the strip $\{|\Im z| < \delta\}$, with the norm $\|a\|_\epsilon = \sup_{|\Im z|<\delta} |a(z)|$. We let $C^\omega(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, *) \subset C^\omega(\mathbb{R}, *)$ be the subspace of 1-periodic functions, with the same norm. Let $R_\theta = \begin{pmatrix} \cos 2\pi\theta & -\sin 2\pi\theta \\ \sin 2\pi\theta & \cos 2\pi\theta \end{pmatrix}$.

Theorem 1.1 will be obtained as a consequence of an estimate for *periodic* cocycles with large period.

Theorem 2.1. *For every $0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0$ there exists $C > 0$ such that if $\delta_1 > 0$ is sufficiently small, then for every $p/q \in \mathbb{Q}$ with q sufficiently large, if $A \in C^\omega_{\epsilon_0}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R}))$ is such that*

$$\max_{0 \le k \le q} \ln \|A_k\|_{\epsilon_0} \le \delta_1 q, \quad \textit{with} \quad A_k(x) = A(x + (k-1)p/q) \cdots A(x) \tag{2.1}$$

then there exists $B \in C^\omega_\epsilon(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R}))$ and $R_* \in \mathrm{SO}(2, \mathbb{R})$ such that $\|B(x)\|_\epsilon \le e^{C\delta_1 q}$, and $\|B(z + p/q)A(z)B(z)^{-1} - R_*\|_\epsilon \le e^{-\delta_1 q}$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let (α, A) be subcritical. By definition, there exists $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sup_{|\Im z|<\epsilon_0} \frac{1}{n} \ln \|A(z + (n-1)\alpha) \cdot A(z)\| = 0. \tag{2.2}$$

Thus for every $\delta > 0$, there exists $n \ge 1$ such that

$$\sup_{0\le k\le n} \sup_{|\Im z|<\epsilon_0} \|A(z + (k-1)\alpha) \cdots A(z)\| \le e^{\delta n}. \tag{2.3}$$

In particular, if $q \ge n$ and p/q is close to α, then

$$\sup_{0\le k\le n} \|A_k\|_{\epsilon_0} \le 2\delta n, \quad \text{with} \quad A_n(x) = A(x + (k-1)p/q) \cdots A(x), \tag{2.4}$$

which implies (2.1) with $\delta_1 = 2\delta$.

Assume now that α is exponentially Liouville. Then there exists $\delta' > 0$ such that we may choose p/q arbitrarily close to α and satisfying $|\alpha - \frac{p}{q}| < e^{-\delta' q}$. Fix $0 < \epsilon' < \epsilon < \epsilon_0$ and let C be as in Theorem 2.1. Select $0 < \delta_1 < \frac{1}{10C}\delta'$ and letting B be and R_* be as in Theorem 2.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\|B(z+\alpha)A(z)B(z)^{-1} - R_*\|_{\epsilon'} \le \\ &\qquad \|B(z+p/q)A(z)B(z)^{-1} - R_*\|_\epsilon + \|A\|_\epsilon \|B\|_\epsilon |\alpha - \frac{p}{q}| \|\partial B\|_{\epsilon'} \\ &\qquad \le \|B(z+p/q)A(z)B(z)^{-1} - R_*\|_\epsilon + \|A\|_\epsilon \|B\|^2_\epsilon C(\epsilon, \epsilon') |\alpha - \frac{p}{q}| \\ &\qquad \le C(\epsilon, \epsilon') e^{-\delta' q/2}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

Since q can be taken arbitrarily large, the result follows. $\square$

We can actually obtain much more information than what is described above. For further applications (see [A4]), we will need such stronger estimates, but only in a particular case (which is not the hardest to prove).

Theorem 2.2. *For every* $0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0$ *there exist* $\delta_2 > 0$ *and* $C > 0$ *such that if* $\delta_1 > 0$ *is sufficiently small, then for every* $p/q \in \mathbb{Q}$ *with* q *sufficiently large, if* $A \in C^\omega_{\epsilon_0}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R}))$ *is is such that (2.1) holds and* $t = trA_q$ *satisfies* $|\hat{t}_0| < 2$ *and* $2 - |\hat{t}_0| \geq e^{-\delta_1 q}$ *then there exists* $B \in C^\omega_\epsilon(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{R}))$ *and* $\theta \in C^\omega_\epsilon(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R})$ *such that* θ *is* $1/q$*-periodic,* $\|\theta - \hat{\theta}_0\|_\epsilon \leq e^{-\delta_2 q}$ *and* $\|B(x)\|_\epsilon \leq e^{C\delta_1 q}$*, and* $B(z + p/q)A(z)B(z)^{-1} = R_{\theta(z)}$.

3. Proof of Theorem 2.2

3.1. **Preliminary estimates.**

Lemma 3.1. *Let* $P(x) = \begin{pmatrix} a(x) & b(x) \\ c(x) & d(x) \end{pmatrix}$ *with* $a, b, c, d \in C^\omega_{\epsilon_0}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{C})$*. Assume that* $\det P$ *is identically vanishing and* $\delta \leq \|P(z)\| \leq 1$ *through* $\{|\Im z| < \epsilon_0\}$*. Then there exists* $u \in C^\omega_\epsilon(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{C}^2)$ *such that* $P(z)u(z) = 0$ *and* $C^{-1}\delta^C \leq \|u(z)\| \leq 1$ *through* $\{|\Im z| < \epsilon\}$*. Here* $C = C(\epsilon_0, \epsilon)$*.*

Proof. If c or d vanishes identically, the result is obvious. Indeed, if c vanishes identically, for instance, then either a vanishes identically (and $u = (1, 0)$ will do) or d vanishes identically (and $u = (-b, a)$ will do).

Let us assume that both c and d are not identically vanishing. Define a meromorphic function (not identically ∞) $\phi(x) = \frac{a(x)}{b(x)} = \frac{c(x)}{d(x)}$. All estimates below are for $|\Im x| < \epsilon$, and $C = C(\epsilon_0, \epsilon)$.

If $1/4 < |\phi(x)| < 1$ then $|D\phi(x)| \leq C/\delta$. Thus the $C^{-1}\delta$-neighborhood of $\phi(\{|\Im x| = \epsilon\})$ intersects $\{1/2 < |\kappa| < 3/4\}$ in a set of κ of Lebesgue measure at most $1/10$. This implies that there exists $|\kappa| < 3/4$ such that $|\phi(x) - \kappa| > C^{-1}\delta$ for every x with $|\Im x| = \epsilon$, and such that for every y with $|\Im y| < \epsilon$ and $\phi(y) = \kappa$ we have $|D\phi(y)| > C^{-1}$. Up to replacing P by $P\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\overline{\kappa} \\ -\kappa & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, we may suppose that $\kappa = 0$. In particular, the zeros of ϕ are simple. Let us estimate the number of zeros of ϕ in $|\Im x| < \epsilon$.

If $\phi(x) = 0$, then either for $\psi_0 = a$ and $\psi_1 = c$ or $\psi_0 = b$ and $\psi_1 = d$ we have $|D\psi_0(x)| > C^{-1}\delta$, $|\psi_1(x)| > C^{-1}\delta$. This implies that we can cover the zeros of ϕ in $\{|\Im x| < \epsilon\}$ with disjoint disks D of radius $C^{-1}\delta$, such that $\max_{\psi=a,b} \inf_{x\in\partial D} |\psi(x)| > C^{-1}\delta^2$. The zeros (of a or b) in such disks persist truncation of the Fourier series keeping frequencies at most $-C \ln \delta$, hence ϕ has at most $-C \ln \delta$ zeros in $|\Im x| < \epsilon$.

Let $p(z) = \prod_{s=1}^N (z - z_s)$ where $z_s = e^{2\pi i\theta_s}$ and θ_s, $1 \leq s \leq N$ are the zeros of ϕ. Let $u_1(\theta) = p(e^{2\pi i\theta})$, and let $u_2(x) = u_1(x)/\phi(x)$. Since the zeros of ϕ are simple, u_1 and u_2 are bounded holomorphic functions in $|\Im x| < \epsilon$. Let $\lambda = \left\|\begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{pmatrix}\right\|_\epsilon$. We claim that $u = \lambda^{-1}\begin{pmatrix} -u_2 \\ u_1 \end{pmatrix}$ has the desired properties.

Clearly $-au_2 + bu_1 = u_2(-a + b\phi) = 0$ and similarly $-cu_2 + du_1 = 0$, so that $Pu = 0$. We also have $\|u(x)\| = 1$ in $|\Im x| < \epsilon$. We need to show that $C^{-1}\delta^C \leq \|(u_1(x), u_2(x))\| \leq C\delta^{-C}$ in $|\Im x| < \epsilon$.

Since the number N of zeros of ϕ in $|\Im x| < \epsilon$ is bounded by $-C \ln \delta$, we have $|u_1(x)| \leq C\delta^{-C}$ in $|\Im x| < \epsilon$, and since $|\phi(x)| > C^{-1}\delta$ in $|\Im x| = \epsilon$, we also have $|u_2(x)| \leq C\delta^{-C}$ in $|\Im x| < \epsilon$. This gives the upper estimate.

To conclude, let us show that $a(x)/u_1(x) \leq C^{-1}\delta^C$ and $c(x)/u_1(x) \leq C^{-1}\delta^C$ for $|\Im x| < \epsilon$. This implies the lower estimate, since (a,b) and (c,d) are multiples of (u_1,u_2) and $\|P(x)\| \geq \delta$.

Since $a(x)/u_1(x)$ and $c(x)/u_1(x)$ are holomorphic in $|\Im x| < \epsilon_0$ and $\|P(x)\| \leq 1$ in $|\Im x| < \epsilon_0$, it is enough to show that $u_1(x) \geq C\delta^{-C}$ for $|\Im x| = \epsilon_0$. But clearly $|u_1(x)| \geq |e^{\mp 2\pi\epsilon} - e^{\mp 2\pi\epsilon_0}|^N$ if $\pm\Im x = \epsilon_0$, where $N < -C\ln\delta$ is the number of zeros of ϕ in $|\Im x| < \epsilon$. This implies the lower estimate. $\square$

Lemma 3.2. *For every $0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_2$, there exists $\delta > 0$ and $C > 0$ such if δ_1 is sufficiently small and q is sufficiently large, the following property holds. Let $\mu \in C^\omega_{\epsilon_2}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{C})$, and let $\mu_k = \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \mu(x+jp/q)$. Assume that $\|\mu_k\|_{\epsilon_2} \leq e^{\delta_1 q}$, $1 \leq k \leq q$ and that $\|\mu_q^{-1}\|_{\epsilon_2} \leq e^{\delta_1 q}$.*[2] *Then there exist $\psi, \theta \in C^\omega_\epsilon(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{C})$ such that*

$$\mu(z) = e^{2\pi i\theta(z)} \frac{e^{2\pi i\psi(z+p/q)}}{e^{2\pi i\psi(z)}} \tag{3.1}$$

and $\|\psi\|_\epsilon \leq C\delta_1 q$, $\ln\|\theta - \hat{\theta}_0\|_\epsilon \leq -\delta q$ and θ is $1/q$-periodic. Moreover,

1. *If $|\mu(x)| = 1$ for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$, then $\Im\psi(x) = 0$ for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$,*
2. *If $\mu(x) \in \mathbb{R}$ for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$, then $\Re\psi(x) = 0$ for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$.*

Proof. Fix $\epsilon < \epsilon_3 < \epsilon_2' < \epsilon_2$. Let $\mu(x) = e^{2\pi i(dx+\phi(x))}$, where $\phi \in C^\omega_{\epsilon_2'}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{C})$. Then $\mu_q(x) = e^{2\pi i(dqx)+\tilde{\phi}(x)}$ with $\tilde{\phi} \in C^\omega_{\epsilon_2'}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{C})$. Let λ be the average of μ_q over $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$. Since μ_q is $1/q$-periodic and $\|\mu_q\|_{\epsilon_2} \leq e^{\delta_1 q}$ with small δ_1, $\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}} |\mu_q(x) - \lambda| \leq e^{-\delta_2 q}$, for some $\delta_2 = \delta_2(\epsilon_2)$. Since $|\mu_q(x_0)^{-1}| \geq e^{-\delta_1 q}$ for each $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, this implies that $|\lambda| \geq e^{-\delta_1 q}/2$. In particular, $\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}} |\mu_q(x) - \lambda| < |\lambda|/2$, so that $d = 0$.

Let $\phi^{(k)} = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \phi(x+jp/q)$. By hypothesis, $\|e^{2\pi i\phi^{(k)}}\|_{\epsilon_2'} \leq e^{\delta_1 q}$, $1 \leq k \leq q$ and $\|e^{-2\pi i\phi^{(q)}}\|_{\epsilon_2'} \leq e^{\delta_1 q}$. This implies that

$$\|\phi^{(k)} - k\hat{\phi}_0\|_{\epsilon_3} \leq C\delta_1 q. \tag{3.2}$$

Indeed, through $\{|\Im z| < \epsilon_2'\}$, it is obvious that $-\Im\phi^{(k)} \leq \delta_1 q$, $1 \leq k \leq q$ and $\Im\phi^{(q)} \leq \delta_1 q$, and since for $1 \leq k \leq q-1$ we have $\phi^{(k)}(z) + \phi^{(q-k)}(z+kp/q) = \phi^{(q)}(z)$, we can conclude that we have the estimate $|\Im\phi^{(k)}(z)| = 2\delta_1 q$ through the same band. To estimate the real part, one just uses that harmonic conjugation in $\{|\Im z| < \epsilon_2'\}$ composed with restriction to $\{|\Im z| < \epsilon_3\}$ is a bounded operator on bounded harmonic functions.

For every $k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus q\mathbb{Z}$, let $1 \leq j_k \leq q-1$ be such that $|1 - e^{2\pi i j_k kp/q}| \geq |1 - e^{2\pi i/3}|$. Then

$$\frac{|\hat{\phi}_k|}{|1 - e^{2\pi ikp/q}|} = \frac{|\hat{\phi}^{(j_k)}_k|}{|1 - e^{2\pi ij_k kp/q}|} \leq C\delta_1 q e^{-2\pi\epsilon_3|k|}. \tag{3.3}$$

---

[2] An hypothesis such as $\|\mu_q^{-1}\|_{\epsilon_2'} \leq e^{o(q)}$, ϵ_2' close to ϵ_2, which is enough for our purposes, follows from the assumption that $\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}} |\mu_q(x) - \lambda| < e^{-\kappa q}$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\lambda| \geq e^{-o(q)}$, by convexity.

Let

$$\psi(x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus q\mathbb{Z}} \frac{\hat{\phi}_k}{e^{2\pi i k p/q} - 1}, \tag{3.4}$$

so that $\|\psi\|_\epsilon \leq C\delta_1 q$. Let $\theta = \phi^{(q)}/q$, so that $\|\theta\|_{\epsilon_3} = \|\phi^{(q)}\|_{\epsilon_3}/q \leq C\delta_1$. Then $\phi(z) = \theta(z) + \psi(z + p/q) - \psi(z)$ (check the Fourier series). Since $\|\theta - \hat{\theta}_0\|_{\epsilon_3} \leq C\delta_1$ and θ is $1/q$-periodic, we have $\|\theta - \hat{\theta}_0\|_\epsilon \leq e^{-\delta q}$ (check the Fourier series).

The last statement follows automatically from the construction. $\square$

3.2. **Construction of the conjugacy.** Fix $\epsilon < \epsilon_2 < \epsilon_1 < \epsilon_0$. Below, C is a large constant depending on $\epsilon, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_1, \epsilon_0$ that may increase (finitely many times) along the argument. Clearly $\ln \|t\|_{\epsilon_0} \leq \ln(2\|A_q\|_{\epsilon_0}) \leq \delta_1 q + \ln 2$. Since t is $1/q$-periodic, it easily follows (by considering the Fourier series), that $\|t - \hat{t}_0\|_{\epsilon_1} < e^{-\delta_3 q}$ for some $\delta_3 = \delta_3(\epsilon_0, \epsilon)$.

In particular, $t(x) = \lambda(x) + \lambda(x)^{-1}$ with $\lambda \in C^\omega_{\epsilon_1}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{C})$. Notice also that $|\lambda(x)| = 1$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Applying Lemma 3.1 to $P = A_q - \lambda\,\mathrm{id}$, we conclude that there exists $u \in C^\omega_{\epsilon_2}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{C})$ with $0 \leq -\ln\|u(z)\| \leq C\delta_1 q$, $|\Im z| < \epsilon_2$, such that $A_q(z) \cdot u(z) = \lambda(z) u(z)$. Notice that $A(z) \cdot u(z)$ is a multiple of $u(z + p/q)$ for every z, $A(z) = \mu(z) u(z + p/q)$. Let μ_k be as in Lemma 3.2. We clearly have

$$\max_{1 \leq k \leq q} \ln \|\mu_k\|_{\epsilon_2}, \ln \|\mu_k^{-1}\|_{\epsilon_2} \leq C\delta_1 q + \ln \|A_k\|_{\epsilon_2} \leq C\delta_1 q. \tag{3.5}$$

Let ψ and θ be given by Lemma 3.2, and let $v = e^{2\pi i \psi} u$. Then $A(z) v(z) = e^{2\pi i \theta(z)} v(z + p/q)$. Notice that $-C\delta_1 q \leq \ln\|v(z)\| \leq C\delta_1 q$ through $\{|\Im z| < \epsilon\}$ and $\|\theta - \hat{\theta}_0\|_\epsilon \leq e^{-\delta_4 q}$ for some $\delta_4 = \delta_4(\epsilon_2, \epsilon) > 0$.

Let $\tilde{B}(z)$ be the matrix with columns $v(z) + \overline{v(\overline{z})}$ and $\frac{1}{i}(v(z) - \overline{v(\overline{z})})$. Then $A(z)\tilde{B}(z) = \tilde{B}(z + p/q) R_{\theta(z)}$. In particular, $b(z + p/q) = b(z)$, where $b = \det \tilde{B}$. Since $\ln \|b\|_{\epsilon_2} \leq C\delta_1 q$, we conclude that $\|b - \hat{b}_0\|_\epsilon \leq e^{-\delta_5 q}$, for some $\delta_5 = \delta_5(\epsilon_2, \epsilon)$, provided δ_1 is sufficiently small.

We claim that $\ln \|b^{-1}\|_\epsilon \leq C\delta_1 q$. Since b has exponentially small oscillation, it suffices to show that $\ln b(x_0)^{-1} \leq C\delta_1 q$ for some $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$. If this does not hold, then there exists $\kappa \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\kappa| = 1$ such that $-\ln \|v(x_0) - \kappa \overline{v(x_0)}\| \gg \delta_1 q$. But since $A_q(x_0)(v(x_0) - \kappa \overline{v(x_0)}) = \lambda(x_0) v(x_0) - \kappa \lambda(x_0)^{-1} \overline{v(x_0)}$, we conclude that $-\ln |\lambda(x_0) - \lambda(x_0)^{-1}| - \ln \|v(x_0)\| \gg \delta_1 q$. Since $-\ln(2 - |t(x_0)|) \leq C\delta_1 q$, this implies that $-\ln \|v(x_0)\| \gg \delta_1 q$, contradiction.

Up to changing θ to $-\theta$ (and replacing $v(z)$ by $\overline{v(\overline{z})}$, we may assume that $b(x) > 0$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Now let $B^{-1} = \frac{1}{b^{1/2}} \tilde{B}$. Then $\|B\|_\epsilon \leq e^{C\delta_1 q}$ and $B(x + p/q) A(x) B(x)^{-1} = R_{\theta(x)}$, as desired. $\square$

4. Proof of Theorem 2.1

4.1. **Preliminary estimates.** An important input in our estimates is the polynomial bound on solutions of the Corona problem. Those can already be found in the original work of Carleson [C], but the more precise version given here has been proved using Wolff's approach.

Theorem 4.1 (Uchiyama [U], see Trent [T])**.** *There exists $C > 0$ with the following property. Let $a_i : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{C}$, $1 \leq i \leq k$, be such that $\delta \leq (\sum_{i=1}^k |a_i(x)|^2)^{1/2} \leq 1$, $x \in \mathbb{D}$.*

Then there exists $\tilde{a}_i : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{C}$ *such that* $(\sum_{i=1}^k |\tilde{a}_i|^2)^{1/2} \leq C\delta^{-2}(1 - \ln \delta)$ *and such that* $\sum_{i=1}^k a_i \tilde{a}_i = 1$.

It is easy to see that Corona estimates for the disk easily imply corresponding ones for the annulus.

Lemma 4.2. *Let* $a_i \in C^\omega_\delta(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{C})$, $1 \leq i \leq k$, *be such that* $\delta \leq (\sum_{i=1}^k |a_i(z)|^2)^{1/2} \leq 1$ *through* $\{|\Im z| < \delta\}$. *Then there exists* $\tilde{a}_i \in C^\omega_\delta(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{C})$, $1 \leq i \leq k$, *such that* $(\sum_{i=1}^k |\tilde{a}_i(z)|^2)^{1/2} \leq C\delta^{-2}(1 + \ln \delta)$ *(with* $C > 0$ *as in the previous theorem) and such that* $\sum_{i=1}^k a_i \tilde{a}_i = 1$. *Moreover, if all the* a_i *are real-symmetric, we can choose all the* $\tilde{a}_i$ *real-symmetric.*

Proof. By the previous theorem, there exist $a'_i \in C^\omega_\epsilon(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$ be such that $\sum_{i=1}^k a_i a'_i = 1$ and $(\sum_{i=1}^k |a'_i(z)|^2)^{1/2} \leq C\delta^{-2}(1 + \ln \delta)$ (we use that the strip $\{|\Im z| < \delta\}$ is conformally equivalent to $\mathbb{D}$). Let $a_i^{(j)}(z) = \frac{1}{j} \sum_{n=0}^{j-1} a'_i(z + n)$. Let $j_n \to \infty$ be a sequence such that for every $1 \leq i \leq k$, $a_i^{(j_n)}$ converges in the topology of uniform convergence on compact sets, and let $\tilde{a}_i$ be the limits. Then $\tilde{a}_i \in C^\omega_\delta(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{C})$.

For the last statement, notice that if the a_i are real-symmetric then we can substitute each $\tilde{a}_i(z)$ by $\frac{1}{2}(\tilde{a}_i(z) + \overline{\tilde{a}_i(\overline{z})})$. □

Lemma 4.3. *There exists* $C > 0$ *with the following property. Consider a function* $P^{(0)} = \begin{pmatrix} a^{(0)} & b^{(0)} \\ c^{(0)} & d^{(0)} \end{pmatrix}$ *with coordinates in* $C^\omega_{\epsilon_0}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{C})$. *Assume that* $\delta \leq \|P^{(0)}(z)\| \leq 1$ *through* $\{|\Im z| < \epsilon_0\}$ *and let* $\|\det P^{(0)}\|_{\epsilon_0} = \rho(\delta^2/\ln \delta)^2$. *If* $\rho < C^{-1}$ *then there exists* $P = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ *with coordinates* $C^\omega_{\epsilon_0}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{C})$ *such that* $\|P^{(0)} - P\| \leq -C\rho(\delta^2/\ln \delta)$ *and* $\det P = 0$. *Moreover, if* $P^{(0)}$ *is real-symmetric then* P *can be chosen real-symmetric.*

Proof. Let $K_0 = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ be such that $a^{(0)}d + ad^{(0)} - b^{(0)}c - bc^{(0)} = 1$. Let $P^{(1)} = P^{(0)} - K_0 \det P^{(0)}$. Then $\det P^{(1)} = (\det P^{(0)})^2 \det K_0$. Choosing K_0 with minimal $\|K_0\|_{\epsilon_0}$, using Lemma 4.2, we get $|\det P^{(1)}| \leq C\rho^2(\delta^2/\ln \delta)^2$, while $\|P^{(1)} - P^{(0)}\|_{\epsilon_0} < -C\rho\delta^2/\ln \delta$. Iterating this procedure we get a sequence $P^{(n)}$ converging to P as desired. □

Lemma 4.4. *Let* $w \in C^\omega_\epsilon(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{C}^2)$ *be such that* $\delta \leq \|w(z)\| \leq 1$ *through* $\{|\Im z| < \epsilon\}$. *If* $w(x)$ *is a multiple of a real vector for* $x \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$, *then there exists* $\tilde{w} \in C^\omega_\epsilon(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^2)$ *such that* $\tilde{w}(x+1) = \pm\tilde{w}(x)$ *for every* $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $w(x)$ *is a multiple of* $\tilde{w}(x)$ *for every* $x \in \mathbb{R}$, *and* $C^{-1}\delta^{3/2} \leq \|\tilde{w}(x)\| \leq C\delta^{-1/2}$ *for* $|\Im x| < \epsilon$.

Proof. Let $w = (a, b)$, and let $\tilde{a}(z) = \overline{a(\overline{z})}$, $\tilde{b}(z) = \overline{b(\overline{z})}$. Let $\phi = a/\tilde{a} = b/\tilde{b}$. Then $2^{-1/2}\delta \leq \phi(z) \leq 2^{1/2}\delta^{-1}$ through $\{|\Im z| < \epsilon\}$. Let $\tilde{w}(x) = \phi^{-1/2}w(x)$. □

Remark 4.1. If in the statement of Lemma 3.1 we further assume that P is real-symmetric, we can then obtain, using Lemma 4.4, a real-symmetric solution of $Pu = 0$ satisfying the required bounds (with adjusted constants), which is not necessarily 1-periodic, but satisfies $u(x) = \pm u(x + 1)$. It is not possible in general to get a 1-periodic solution, as exemplified by $P(x) = \begin{pmatrix} -\sin \pi x \cos \pi x & -\sin^2 \pi x \\ \cos^2 \pi x & \sin \pi x \cos \pi x \end{pmatrix}$.

Lemma 4.5. *For every $0 < \epsilon_1 < \epsilon_0$, there exists $C_0 > 0$, $\delta_0 > 0$ such that for every $0 < \delta < \delta_0$, if q is sufficiently large and $\phi \in C^\omega_{\epsilon_0}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{C})$ is such that $\|\phi\|_{\epsilon_0} \leq e^{\delta q}$, while there exists z with $|\Im z| < \epsilon_1$ such that $|\phi(z)|, ..., |\phi(z + (q-1)/q)| < e^{-C\delta q}$ with $C > C_0$, then $\|\phi\|_{\epsilon_1} \leq \max\{e^{-C_0^{-1} C\delta q}, e^{-\delta_0 q}\}$.*

Proof. Let $\tilde{\phi}(x) = \sum_{k=-[q/2]}^{q-1-[q/2]} \hat{\phi}_k e^{2\pi i k x}$. Then $\|\phi - \tilde{\phi}\|_{\epsilon_1} \leq e^{-\delta_1 q}$ with $\delta_1 = \delta_1(\epsilon_0, \epsilon) > 0$, provided δ_0 is sufficiently small. By Lagrange interpolation,

$$\sup_{\Im x = \Im z} \|\tilde{\phi}(x)\| \leq \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} |\phi(z + k/q)| \leq q e^{-C\delta q}. \tag{4.1}$$

(Indeed $\psi(x) = e^{2\pi i [q/2] x} \tilde{\phi}(x + z)$ satisfies $\psi(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} \psi(k/q) c_q(x - k/q)$ where $c_q(x) = \frac{1}{q} \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} e^{2\pi i k x/q}$, so that $\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}} |c_q(x)| = 1$.) The result follows by convexity. □

4.2. **Construction of the conjugacy.** Fix $\epsilon < \epsilon' < \epsilon_0$. As in the proof of Theorem 2.2, the hypothesis implies that

$$\|t(x) - \hat{t}_0\|_{\epsilon'} \leq e^{-\delta_3 q} \tag{4.2}$$

for some $\delta_3 = \delta_3(\epsilon', \epsilon_0) > 0$.

We will consider 2 distinct cases:

1. $|2 - |\hat{t}_0|| \geq e^{-C_0^2 \delta_1 q}$,
2. $|2 - |\hat{t}_0|| < e^{-C_0^2 \delta_1 q}$.

Here $C_0 = C_0(\epsilon_0, \epsilon)$ will be some appropriately large constant.

4.2.1. *Case (1).* If $|\hat{t}_0| < 2$, the result is contained in Theorem 2.2. We will assume below that $|\hat{t}_0| > 2$. In this case (4.2) implies $t(z) = \lambda(z) + \lambda(z)^{-1}$ with $\lambda \in C^\omega_{\epsilon'}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{R})$. The argument below is a simple adaptation of that of Theorem 2.2. Fix $\epsilon < \epsilon'' < \epsilon''' < \epsilon_1$.

Applying Lemma 3.1 and Remark 4.1 to $P = A_q - \lambda \,\mathrm{id}$, we obtain $u \in C^\omega_{\epsilon''}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^2)$ with $e^{-C\delta_1 q} \leq \|u(x)\| \leq 1$, $|\Im x| < \epsilon''$ such that $u(z+1) = \pm u(z)$ and $A_q u = \lambda u$. Notice that $A(z) \cdot u(z)$ is a multiple of $u(z + p/q)$ for every z, $A(z)u(z) = \mu(z)u(z + p/q)$. Notice that μ is 1-periodic. Let μ_k be as in Lemma 3.2. We clearly have $\|\mu_k\|_{\epsilon''}, \|\mu_k^{-1}\|_{\epsilon''} \leq e^{C\delta_1 q} \|A_k\|_{\epsilon''} \leq e^{C\delta_1 q}$, $1 \leq k \leq q$. Let ψ and θ be given by Lemma 3.2 (with $\epsilon_0 = \epsilon''$ and $\epsilon = \epsilon'''$), and let $v = e^{2\pi i \psi} u$. Then v is real-symmetric and $A(z)v(z) = \gamma(z)v(z + p/q)$, where $\gamma(z)$ is $1/q$-periodic and real-symmetric. Notice that γ has the same sign as μ and $\gamma^q = \lambda$.

An analogous argument yields a solution $v' \in C^\omega_{\epsilon'''}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^2)$ such that $v'(z+1) = \pm v(z)$, $A_q v' = \lambda^{-1} v'$ and $A(z)v'(z) = \gamma(z)^{-1} v(z + p/q)$. Notice that since $\lambda \neq \lambda^{-1}$, v is not colinear with v', so the determinant of the matrix with columns $v(x)$ and $v'(x)$ does not change sign for $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus v and v' are both 1-periodic or 1-antiperiodic. Take $\tilde{B}$ as the matrix with columns v and v'. Since $A(z)\tilde{B}(z) = \tilde{B}(z + p/q) \begin{pmatrix} \gamma(z) & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma(z)^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$, $b = \det \tilde{B}$ is $1/q$-periodic, so that $\|b - \hat{b}_0\|_\epsilon \leq e^{-\delta_2 q}$ (where $\delta_2 > 0$ is independent of δ_1 small). For fixed $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, since $A_q(x_0)$ is an $e^{\delta_1 q}$ bounded matrix whose eigenvalues $\lambda(x_0)$ and $\lambda^{-1}(x_0)$ are $e^{-C\delta_1 q}$ apart, the angle between the eigenvectors $v(x_0)$ and $v'(x_0)$ is at least $e^{-C\delta_1 q}$. Thus $|b(x_0)| \geq e^{-C\delta_1 q}$, and hence $|\hat{b}_0| \geq e^{-C\delta_1 q}$. The result follows by taking B^{-1} as the matrix with columns v and v'/b.

4.2.2. *Case (2).* We will use, in two distinct situations, the following estimate.

Lemma 4.6. *For every $\epsilon < \epsilon_1 < \epsilon_0$, there exists $C_5 > 1$ such that for every $C_3 > 1$ sufficiently large and every $C_4 > 1$, for every $\delta_1 > 0$ is sufficiently small, if $p/q \in \mathbb{Q}$ with q sufficiently large, and $A \in C^\omega_{\epsilon_0}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R}))$ satisfies (2.1), then the following property holds. If there exists $W \in C^\omega_{\epsilon_1}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R}))$ and $R \in \mathrm{SO}(2,\mathbb{R})$ satisfying*

$$\|W(z+p/q)A(z) - RW(z)\|_{\epsilon_1} \leq e^{-C_3C_4\delta_1 q}, \tag{4.3}$$

while

$$\|W(z)\| \geq e^{-C_4\delta_1 q}, \quad |\Im z| < \epsilon_1, \tag{4.4}$$

and

$$\|\det W\|_{\epsilon_1} \leq e^{-C_3C_4\delta_1 q}, \tag{4.5}$$

then there exists $B \in C^\omega_\epsilon(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{R}))$ and a constant diagonal matrix $D \in \mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})$ such that $\|B\|_\epsilon \leq e^{C_5C_4\delta_1 q}$ and $\|B(z+p/q)A(z)B(z)^{-1} - D\|_\epsilon \leq e^{-C_4\delta_1 q}$.

Proof. Fix $\epsilon < \epsilon_2 < \epsilon_1$. Apply Lemma 4.3 to $P^{(0)} = W$ to obtain P with $\det P = 0$ such that $\|P - W\|_{\epsilon_1} \leq e^{C^{-1}C_3C_4\delta_1 q}$ Using Lemma 3.1 together with Remark 4.1 to get $u \in C^\omega_{\epsilon_2}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^2)$ such that $u(z+1) = u(z)$ or $u(z+1) = -u(z)$ such that $Pu = 0$, and satisfying $e^{-CC_4\delta_1 q} \leq \|u(z)\| \leq 1$ through $\{|\Im z| < \epsilon_2\}$. Then

$$\|W(z) \cdot u(z)\|_{\epsilon_2} \leq e^{-C^{-1}C_3C_4\delta_1 q}. \tag{4.6}$$

Using (4.3) we get

$$\|W(z+p/q) \cdot A(z)u(z)\|_{\epsilon_2} \leq e^{-C^{-1}C_3C_4\delta_1 q}, \tag{4.7}$$

Using (4.4), it follows that $A(z) \cdot u(z)$ is $e^{-C^{-1}C_3C_4\delta_1 q}$ close to a multiple of $u(z + p/q)$, $|\Im z| < \epsilon_2$. Using Lemma 4.2, define $\tilde{B} \in C^\omega(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{R}))$ with first column u. Then

$$\tilde{B}(z+p/q)^{-1}A(z)B(z) = \begin{pmatrix} \mu(z) & \tilde{s}_2(z) \\ \tilde{s}_3(z) & \mu(z)^{-1} + \tilde{s}_4(z) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{4.8}$$

with μ real-symmetric, and $\|\tilde{s}_3\|_{\epsilon_2}, \|\tilde{s}_4\|_{\epsilon_2} < e^{-C^{-1}C_3C_4\delta_1 q}$. As in the proof of case (2) above, we can apply Lemma 3.2 to obtain ψ and θ such that $B' = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-\psi} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{\psi} \end{pmatrix} \tilde{B}$ satisfies

$$B'(z+p/q)A(z)B'(z)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{2\pi i\theta(z)} & s'_2(z) \\ s'_3(z) & e^{-2\pi i\theta(z)} + s'_4(z) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{4.9}$$

with $\|s'_3\|_\epsilon, \|s'_4\|_\epsilon \leq e^{-C^{-1}C_3C_4\delta_1 q}$. We also have the bound $\|B'\|_\epsilon \leq e^{CC_4\delta_1 q}$, and hence $\|s'_2\|_\epsilon \leq e^{CC_4\delta_1 q}$. Since $\|\theta - \hat{\theta}_0\|_\epsilon \leq e^{-\delta q}$ with $\delta = \delta(\epsilon, \epsilon_2)$, the result follows with $B^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} d & 0 \\ 0 & d^{-1} \end{pmatrix} B'$, $d = e^{10C_4\delta_1 q}(1 + \|s'_2\|_\epsilon)$. □

One key consideration when $\hat{t}_0$ is close to ± 2 is whether $\pm A_q$ is close to the identity or not. Fix $\epsilon < \epsilon_1 < \epsilon'$. Notice that if $\|A_q \mp \mathrm{id}\,\|_{\epsilon_1} \geq e^{-C_0\delta_1 q}$ then

$$\|A_q(z) \mp \mathrm{id}\,\| \geq e^{-C_1C_0\delta_1 q}, \quad |\Im z| < \epsilon_1, \tag{4.10}$$

for an appropriately large constant C_1, which does not depend on the choice of C_0. Indeed, if this was not the case then there would exists z with $|\Im z| < \epsilon_1$ such that

$\|A_q(z+kp/q) \mp \mathrm{id}\,\| \leq \|A_k(z)\|^2 \|A_q(z) \mp \mathrm{id}\,\| \leq e^{-C_2 C_0 \delta_1 q}$ for $0 \leq k \leq q-1$ with C_2 large. Applying Lemma 4.5 to the coefficients of $A_q \mp \mathrm{id}$, that are bounded by $e^{\delta_1 q}+1$ through $\{|\Im z| < \epsilon_0\}$, leads to a contradiction.

We will assume below that C_0 is chosen much bigger than C_1. Then, under the assumption that (4.10) holds, the result follows from Lemma 4.6, with $W = A_q \mp \mathrm{id}$.

Assume not that (4.10) does not hold, so that, as explained above, we must have

$$\|A_q \mp \mathrm{id}\,\|_{\epsilon_1} \leq e^{-C_0 \delta_1 q}. \tag{4.11}$$

Let us consider a large coefficient of the discrete Fourier transform of the essentially periodic sequence $\{R_{ls/2q} A_s\}_{s=0}^{q-1}$, where $l=0$ if A_q is close to id and $l=1$ is A_q is close to $-\,\mathrm{id}$. More precisely, take $W_k = \sum_{s=0}^{q-1} R_{ks/q} R_{ls/2q} A_s$, $0 \leq k \leq q-1$. Then

$$W_k(z+p/q)A(z) = R_{-(2k+l)/2q}(W_k(z) \pm A_q(z) - \mathrm{id}), \tag{4.12}$$

so that by (4.11),

$$\|W_k(z+p/q)A(z) - R_{(2k+l)/2q} W_k(z)\|_{\epsilon_1} \leq e^{-C^{-1} C_0 \delta_1 q} \tag{4.13}$$

(here and below, we use C for quantities that do not become larger if C_0 is taken large, so that we can always assume that $C_0 > C$). Clearly, for every $x \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ and any unit vector $y \in \mathbb{R}^2$,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{q-1} \|W_k(x) \cdot y\|^2 = q \sum_{s=0}^{q-1} \|A_s(x) \cdot y\|^2 \tag{4.14}$$

(Parseval identity). The average of the right hand side over the circle of unit vectors is

$$q \sum_{s=0}^{q-1} \frac{\|A_s(x)\|^2 + \|A_s(x)\|^{-2}}{2} \geq q^2, \tag{4.15}$$

so for every $x \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$, there exists one unit vector y such that $\sum_{k=0}^{q-1} \|W_k(x) \cdot y\|^2 \geq q^2$. Fix $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and let $W = W_{k_0}$ where k_0 is such that such that $\|W_{k_0}(x_0)\|^2$ is maximal. Then $\|W(x_0)\|^2 \geq q$.

We claim that for fixed $\epsilon < \epsilon_1' < \epsilon_1$,

$$-\ln \|W(z)\| \leq C \delta_1 q, \quad |\Im z| < \epsilon_1'. \tag{4.16}$$

Indeed, if $\|W(z)\| \leq e^{-C\delta_1 q}$ with large C, then $\max_{0 \leq j \leq q-1} \|W(z+jp/q)\| \leq e^{-C\delta_1 q}$ with large C, and Lemma 4.5 implies that $\|W\|_{\epsilon_1'} \leq e^{-C\delta_1 q}$ with large C. This contradicts $\|W(x_0)\|^2 \geq q$, so that (4.16) holds.

Let $w = \det W$. Then, by (4.13), $\|w(z+p/q) - w(z)\|_{\epsilon_1} \leq e^{-C^{-1} C_0 \delta_1 q}$, so w is $e^{-C^{-1} C_0 \delta_1 q}$ to $\frac{1}{q} \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} w(x+kp/q)$, which is $1/q$-periodic and bounded by $qe^{2\delta_1 q}$ over $\{|\Im z| < \epsilon_1\}$. By convexity, we get that $\|w - \hat{w}_0\|_{\epsilon_1'} \leq e^{-C^{-1} C_0 \delta_1 q}$.

Assume that $-\ln |\hat{w}_0| \leq C_0^{1/2} \delta_1 q$. If $w(x) > 0$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}$, then take $B = \frac{1}{w^{1/2}} W$. If $w(x) < 0$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}$, then take $B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \frac{1}{(-w)^{1/2}} W$. The result follows.

Assume that $-\ln |\hat{w}_0| \geq C_0^{1/2} \delta_1 q$. The result follows by applying Lemma 4.6 (with ϵ_1' instead of ϵ_1). □

Remark 4.2. The analysis above can be refined further to yield considerably more precise estimates.

References

[A1] Avila, A. The absolutely continuous spectrum of the almost Mathieu operator. Preprint (www.arXiv.org).

[A2] Avila, A. Global theory of one-frequency Schrödinger operators I: stratified analyticity of the Lyapunov exponent and the boundary of nonuniform hyperbolicity. Preprint (www.arXiv.org).

[A3] Avila, A. Global theory of one-frequency Schrödinger operators II: acriticality and the finiteness of phase transitions for typical potentials. Preprint.

[A4] Avila, A. Almost reducibility and absolute continuity II. In preparation.

[AFK] Avila, A.; Fayad, B.; Krikorian, R. A KAM scheme for $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})$ cocycles with Liouvillean frequencies. Preprint (www.arXiv.org).

[AJ1] Avila, A.; Jitomirskaya, S. Almost localization and almost reducibility. Journal of the European Mathematical Society 12 (2010), 93-131.

[AJ2] Avila, A.; Jitomirskaya, S. Hölder continuity of absolutely continuous spectral measures for one-frequency Schrödinger operators. To appear in Communications in Mathematical Physics.

[BG] Bourgain, J.; Goldstein, M. On nonperturbative localization with quasi-periodic potential. Ann. of Math. (2) 152 (2000), no. 3, 835–879.

[BJ1] Bourgain, J.; Jitomirskaya, S. Continuity of the Lyapunov exponent for quasiperiodic operators with analytic potential. J. Statist. Phys. 108 (2002), no. 5-6, 1203–1218.

[BJ2] Bourgain, J.; Jitomirskaya, S. Absolutely continuous spectrum for 1D quasiperiodic operators. Invent. Math. 148 (2002), no. 3, 453–463.

[C] Carleson, Lennart Interpolations by bounded analytic functions and the corona problem. Ann. of Math. (2) 76 1962 547–559.

[E] Eliasson, L. H. Floquet solutions for the 1-dimensional quasi-periodic Schrödinger equation. Comm. Math. Phys. 146 (1992), no. 3, 447–482.

[GS1] Goldstein, M.; Schlag, W. Hölder continuity of the integrated density of states for quasiperiodic Schrödinger equations and averages of shifts of subharmonic functions. Ann. of Math. (2) 154 (2001), no. 1, 155–203.

[GS2] Goldstein, M.; Schlag, W. Fine properties of the integrated density of states and a quantitative separation property of the Dirichlet eigenvalues. Geom. Funct. Anal. 18 (2008), no. 3, 755–869.

[GS3] Goldstein, M.; Schlag, W. On resonances and the formation of gaps in the spectrum of quasi-periodic Schrödinger equations. To appear in Annals of Math.

[T] Trent, Tavan T. A new estimate for the vector valued corona problem. J. Funct. Anal. 189 (2002), 267–282.

[U] Uchiyama, A., Corona theorems for countably many functions and estimates for their solutions, preprint, 1980.

CNRS UMR 7586, Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu, 175 rue du Chevaleret, 75013, Paris, France & IMPA, Estrada Dona Castorina 110, 22460-320, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil.

E-mail address: `artur@math.jussieu.fr`